Case 2:05-cv-00821-LKK-KJM Document 11 Filed 07/28/05 Page 1 of 4

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 MARK E. LUCK, 10 NO. CIV. S-05-821 LKK/KJM 11 Plaintiff, 12 V. 13 THE CANADA LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY, 14 Defendant.

STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE

Pursuant to court order, a Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference was held in Chambers on July 25, 2005. DANIEL GLASS appeared as counsel for plaintiff; ROBERT POHLS appeared as counsel for defendant. After hearing, the court makes the following findings and orders:

SERVICE OF PROCESS

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

All parties defendant have been served and no further service is permitted except with leave of court, good cause having been shown.

JOINDER OF PARTIES/AMENDMENTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Except as provided, no further joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted except with leave of court, good cause having been shown. See Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, <u>Inc.</u>, 975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992).

Plaintiff is granted twenty (20) days to join additional parties.

JURISDICTION/VENUE

Jurisdiction is predicated upon 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e), undisputed and is hereby found to be proper, as is venue.

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULES

All law and motion except as to discovery is left open, save and except that the parties are directed to bring on cross-motions for summary judgment on March 24, 2006. Hearing on the motions shall be held on April 28, 2006 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 4, and shall be deemed a trial before the court.

Opposition or statement of non-opposition to all motions shall be filed not later than 4:30 p.m. fourteen (14) days preceding the hearing date, or by proof of service by mail not less than seventeen (17) days preceding the hearing date.

At the time of filing a motion, opposition, or reply, counsel are directed to email a copy in word processing format to the Judge's Assistant, Tim Hinkle, at thinkle@caed.uscourts.gov.

DISCOVERY

No modifications of the discovery requirements found in the 26 Federal Rules is ordered.

Case 2:05-cv-00821-LKK-KJM Document 11 Filed 07/28/05 Page 3 of 4

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

All discovery is left open, save and except that it shall be so conducted as to be completed by January 25, 2006. The word "completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been complied with. Motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the magistrate judge's calendar in accordance with the local rules of this court and so that such motions will be heard not later than December 23, 2005. In this regard, all counsel are to designate in writing and file with the court and serve upon all other parties a final list of the names of all experts that they propose to tender at trial not later than sixty (60) days before the close of discovery herein established. Accompanying the designation shall be the written report specified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 A2B. All experts so designated are to be fully prepared to render an informed opinion at the time of <u>designation</u> so that they may fully participate in any deposition taken by the opposing party. Experts will not be permitted to testify at the trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or opinion formed, after deposition taken subsequent to designation.

An expert witness not appearing on said lists will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates: (a) that the necessity of the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the lists were exchanged; (b) the court and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon

Case 2:05-cv-00821-LKK-KJM Document 11 Filed 07/28/05 Page 4 of 4

discovery of the witness; and (c) that the witness was promptly proffered for deposition. SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE At the time of filing their cross-motions for summary judgment, the parties shall request a Settlement Conference before a judge other than the trial judge. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: July 27, 2005. /s/Lawrence K. Karlton LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT